
From: Shawn B

Sent: Tuesday, March 7, 2023 6:14 PM

To: kkulikoff@californiacity-ca.gov; rsmith@californiacity-ca.gov; mkulikoff@californiacity-ca.gov; Jim Creighton; Karen Macedonio

Subject: Quo Warranto Concerns

Importance: High

Dear Mayor & City Council,

Before the next council meeting, it's important that I address an issue in writing seeing I am restricted to three minutes to speak. I have included everyone to be assured that everyone receives the same communication in regard to the issue of the Quo Warranto that is being filed on councilmember Karen Macedonio. I don't expect a response but wanted to make a formal statement and as a notification regarding my complaint on the following to outside agencies.

I want to stick to the term Quo Warranto, its meaning, and who it could apply to. I HIGHLY recommend you research it. In doing so, it causes further concern for some of us in the community on why three of you are pursuing this against a council member we the people elected. Your concern is if she is serving two incompatible offices. I don't believe she is as one office doesn't have authority over the other. Quo warranto is used to test a person's legal right to hold an office, not to evaluate the person's performance in the office. For example, a quo warranto action may be brought to determine whether a public official satisfies a requirement that he or she resides in the district; or whether a public official is serving in two incompatible offices.

The remedy of quo warranto is vested in the People, and not in any private individual or group, because the question of who has the right to hold a public office is a matter of public concern, not a private dispute. We, the people, have petitioned the AG to deny your request with our own statement and concerns about your actions.

What I find interesting is that you are pursuing this against councilmember Macedonio, but just appointed an interim city manager who will also be serving in another position that is incompatible with one another. If you weren't aware a city manager is a public official. Interim is irrelevant, the same mayor and two councilmembers who voted to pursue this course of action on councilmember Macedonio don't see it as an issue in another situation as the same three voted to appoint an interim city manager who would serve in two incompatible offices.

Therefore, it furthers the concerns of others regarding this situation and the mayor pro tem issue in which she was also affected in. Same three people. Do you see a trend?

I want to know the amount of money you spent to pursue Karen with attorney fees thus far, so the community is aware of the amount of wasteful spending. Seeing you all believe in transparency please have someone provide me with that amount when I ask at the upcoming council meeting. You have plenty of time before the next council meeting to gather that. At the very least it would show you respond to your constituents

In the end, I will keep speaking out at any opportunity I can until this is resolved. Remember the meetings are on video and it is also concerning when it seems really the only people with an issue for councilmember Macedonio serving two positions was you three. I guess you don't listen to the public do you. Clearly your actions don't show that.

Sincerely,
Shawn Bradley
Resident

#ISTANDWITHKAREN
#JUSTICEFORKAREN